

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,464	11/30/2001	William C. Tacon	12905	9284
75	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Patricia A. Coburn			NAFF, DAVID M	
Battelle Pulmonary Therapeutics, Inc. Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1801 Watermark Drive			1651	
Columbus, OH 43215			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/020,464	TACON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David M. Naff	1651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after  - If the  - If NO  - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) No the cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	November 2001.					
2a)□	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examination (a) filed an inverse a)	ner. sconted or h\□ objected	to by the Examiner				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H.S.	C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forces.  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received riority documents have b	in Application No				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		not received.				
	Wal						
Attachme	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interv	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other					

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

## Election/Restrictions

Claims in the application are 1-29.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a microparticle for pulmonary delivery of a therapeutic agent, classified in class 424, subclass 489.
  - II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of making a shaped microparticle for use in pulmonary delivery of a therapeutic material, classified in class 424, subclass 497.
  - III. Claim 12, drawn to a method for making a microparticle for use in pulmonary delivery of a drug, classified in class 424, subclass 400.
  - IV. Claims 13 and 14, drawn to a method of making a microparticle for use in pulmonary delivery of a drug, classified in class 424, subclass 409.
  - V. Claims 15-17, drawn to a method of making a microparticle for pulmonary delivery of a protein, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- VI. Claims 18-29, drawn to a shaped, particulate dry powder composition suitable for aerosolization and delivery to pulmonary system of a patient, classified in class 424, subclass 94.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

Inventions I-VI can each be separately used without using any other invention. The microparticle of I and the composition of VI can be prepared by a method different than required by the methods of inventions II-V. The microparticle of invention 1 does not have to be a powder as required by the composition of V, and the composition of V does not require the microparticle of I since in V the biologically active agent is in the polymer and a powder is required. The methods of inventions II-V each require different steps such that each can be performed without carrying out any other method to produce a different end product from any other method.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

20

25

30

35

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-

Art Unit: 1651

0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

DMN 15 6/15/04

10